

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/991,984		SEKI ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Jennifer M. Dolan		2813	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jennifer M. Dolan.

(3) _____.

(2) Jeff Lillywhite. 

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 April 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 12, 21, 22 and 24.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shimoda and Ichikawa references.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 112 Rejections with respect to claim 12 were discussed, as well as ambiguity in claims 12, 21, and 22. The proposed amendment appears to overcome the 112 rejections with respect to claims 12, 16, and 18. Also, definitions of a dummy pixel and applicability of the Ichikawa reference to the amended claims was discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required